DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

| JEAN E. TOLUD and DIONNE G. SINCLAIR, |) |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Plaintiffs, |)) |
| v. |) Case No. 3:19-cv-0039 |
| ALEXANDER S. BOUIS and TARA BOUIS, |)) |
| Defendants. | j |
| |) |

ORDER

THESE MATTERS came before the Court on several pretrial motions. For the reasons stated on the record prior to the commencement of the bench trial on February 22, 2021, it is hereby

ORDERED that Defendants' *Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Non- Expert Testimony of Speculative Future Loss Rental Income*, ECF No. 51, is **DENIED** without prejudice; it is further

ORDERED that Defendants' *Motion in Limine to Exclude Extrinsic Evidence of Fraud*, ECF No. 52, is **DENIED** without prejudice; it is further

ORDERED that Defendants' *Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Non-Expert Testimony of Latent Structural Defects*, ECF No. 53, is **DENIED** without prejudice; it is further

ORDERED that Defendants' *Motion in Limine to Prohibit Plaintiffs from Requesting a Specific Dollar Amount be Awarded for Their Loss of Use*, ECF No. 54, is **DENIED** without prejudice; it is further

ORDERED that Defendants' *Motion in Limine to Exclude Documents not Produced in Discovery*, ECF No. 55, is **DENIED**; it is further

ORDERED that Defendants' *Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Exhibits 9-19*, ECF No. 61, is **DENIED**; it is further

Tolud et al. v. Bouis et al. Case No. 3:19-cv-0039

Order Page 2 of 2

ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude Documents that were not Produced in

Response to a Rule 34 Request for Production, ECF No. 70, is **DENIED** without prejudice; and

it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Combined Motion and Memorandum to Exclude Documents

Produced Pursuant to Subpoenas Issued after the Close of Discovery, ECF No. 80, is DENIED

without prejudice.

Dated: February 26, 2021 /s/ Robert A. Molloy

ROBERT A. MOLLOY

District Judge